

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/944,193	YAMANAKA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Holly Rickman	1773	

All Participants:

(1) Holly Rickman.

(2) Tarik Nabj.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 July 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103 rejection of claims in view of Umeda et al.

Claims discussed:

all pending

Prior art documents discussed:

Umeda et al.

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

HOLLY RICKMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed Exr's position that Umeda et al. teaches that Co concentration is a result effective parameter that affects exchange coupling strength. Discussed possibility of putting limitation in independent claims directed to exchange coupling strength increase over claimed Co range..